

and barber instructors before license is renewed each year. 71-218 changes the wording by removing the word "issue". As it now stands, we are to hold a hearing before we can refuse to issue a license. This was not the original intent of the law. The intent was to require a hearing before refusing to renew, suspend or revoke a license. Next revision is in section 71-219-02, changes the wording that requires a shop to be inspected once a year to read that it shall be called upon once a year for the purpose of inspection. Some small town shops maintain a license but are only open a few days a year making it difficult for the inspector to find the shop open. Next revision is in section 71-222. It changes the title, "Manager-Inspector" to "Director". This would correspond with the other barber boards in the nation. The duties for this individual would remain the same. The next revision, 71-223-04 requires all persons conducting temporary classes to apply for a temporary permit. This is to prevent Nebraska barbers from being ripped off by unscrupulous operators. The final revision falls in statute 71-225 and 71-237, the portion relating to minimum prices would be deleted because this is obviously unconstitutional. Now these were the revisions that came about through the study of the bill for the reason of sunset. The revisions that we are stating here were those that were brought to us by the Board of Barber Examiners. We felt the revisions were good ones and should make for better operation in the state as far as the Examining Board goes and for barbering in general. I feel Senator Chambers should be handling these but I will go ahead and handle them. I move for the adoption of the amendments.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Is there any discussion? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I was going through the list and the only thing I object to, on page 1, is section 14 where they relate a requirement for eight additional hours of continuing education for barbers, who for some reason have not sought renewal of their license for a year or two. They require the continuing education for barber instructors, assistant barber instructors. Now there might be a rationale for that because there could be some changes in the method of instructing whatever it is of barbering. I don't know whether it is a science, a profession, an art. It is classified as semi-skilled labor and the wages are starvation, but whatever barbering is, there might be some changes in how you go about teaching that but I feel the requirement that the barber, himself or herself, be required to show eight hours of continuing education is unreasonable. Despite the fact that the styles of hair change, the method of cutting by either shears or razor or clipper will not alter and products liability would not come in under this bill because we don't use lawnmowers to cut hair contrary to the way some people's hair look after it had been cut. So I think that we ought to strike from this requirement of continuing education the words "or registered barber". If you have been out of the trade for five years and you can see well enough and you have the dexterity to manipulate the tools, you would be able to cut hair, and to require the eight hours of continuing education can only benefit barber schools, and before this session, maybe not before the session but before the year is over, it may be necessary for me to bring some things to the attention of the Health Department where the state is being ripped off by certain unscrupulous